

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/680,012	Applicant(s) FURST, MICHAEL	
	Examiner CATHERINE SIMONE	Art Unit 1794	

All Participants:

(1) CATHERINE SIMONE.

(2) Ed Freedman.

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

32

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: proposed amending independent claim 32 to get the claim in condition for allowance. It was agreed to amend claim 32 to delete the phrase "consisting of" in line 2 and insert "comprising"; delete the phrase "consist of" in line 3 and insert "comprise", delete the phrase "consisting of" in line 6 and insert "comprise" and insert "the bituminous layer and" in line 24 after "between" and before "two adjacent layers". It was agreed to make the changes to the claim by Examiner's Amendment.